THE FACTS

Introduction
Many animals killed to provide meat for the Jewish and Muslim communities in the UK are slaughtered in a way that complies with certain religious beliefs. This is known as religious slaughter and legally may only be carried out in licensed slaughterhouses by authorised slaughtermen of the Jewish or Islamic faiths.

Under EU legislation (Regulation 1099/2009 EC) all animals must be stunned before being slaughtered. However, the legislation provides for this requirement to be waived in the case of religious slaughter. Special provisions are made for the slaughter of animals by religious methods.

The legislation allows religious slaughter to be carried out in licensed slaughterhouses without prior stunning, (but only if unnecessary suffering is prevented), by:

- the Jewish method for the food of Jews by a Jew who holds an official slaughterman’s licence (issued by the Food Standards Agency (FSA)) and who is duly licensed –
  (i) in England and Wales by the Rabbinical Commission for the licensing of Shochetim; or
  (ii) in Scotland by the Chief Rabbi; and
- the Muslim method for the food of Muslims by a Muslim who holds an official slaughterman’s licence (issued by the FSA).
All meat intended for sale must be inspected and declared fit for human consumption by a representative of the MHS.

Cattle to be slaughtered by a religious method must be held in a restraining pen in an upright position. The restraining pen must be approved by the Secretary of State and be of such a design, size, and be able to be operated in such a way, as to protect the animal from any avoidable pain, suffering or agitation when entering or while confined in the pen. In particular the pen must contain an effective means of restraining the animal, including a head restraint, and a means of support that will take the weight of the animal during, and following, slaughter.

When an animal is slaughtered without prior stunning it cannot be moved until it is unconscious from loss of blood. Sheep and goats cannot be moved for at least 20 seconds; and cattle for at least 30 seconds.

Shechita – Jewish method of slaughter
The Board of Shechita controls the Jewish method of slaughter. Jewish slaughtermen (Shochetim) undergo special training and are subject to licensing and annual examination by the Rabbinical Commission in England and Wales (the Chief Rabbi in Scotland). The Shochetim must also hold an official slaughterman’s licence issued by the MHS.

Jewish religious law demands that animals must be alive, healthy and have suffered no injury at the time of slaughter. One reason why the Jewish authorities are opposed to the stunning of animals before slaughter is the belief that commonly used stunning methods cause injury. Captive-bolt stunning does cause an injury as it penetrates the skull, but it is argued that electrical stunning also causes injury. In the shechita method a single rapid, uninterrupted movement of the knife must sever both carotid arteries and both jugular veins. The knife must be of a sufficient size and sharpness to accomplish this, and the blade must be undamaged. Jewish authorities argue that because of the sharpness of the knife the animal does not feel any pain from the cut, and because of the rapid loss of blood they believe it is insensible within three seconds of the cut being made. All the blood must be drained from the animal as Jewish religious law forbids the eating of

What does the HSA do?
The Humane Slaughter Association is the only registered charity which specialises in the welfare of livestock in markets, during transport and at slaughter. It achieves its aims by arranging practical training sessions, by publishing educational materials, by funding research projects and by providing constructive advice and information. This rational approach continues to achieve significant improvements to food animal welfare.

How can you help?
HSA depends upon voluntary subscriptions, donations and legacies for its income. Support is needed from both individuals and corporate groups so that the Association may continue to play an effective role in the welfare of food animals.

The annual subscription for individual members is £15, for corporate groups £50 and for those in full-time education £5.
did not make labelling compulsory. They stated that they would consider if this could be addressed through a voluntary system of labelling, but the chances of a voluntary system being adopted do not appear to be high.

**HSA's view**

Whilst respecting differing religious beliefs, the HSA’s position on the pre-slaughter stunning of animals has always been unequivocal, all animals should be effectively stunned prior to being bled. Recent advances in the electrical stunning of cattle now make reversible stunning a practical option for all. This overcomes one of the main obstacles preventing a full uptake of pre-slaughter stunning.

As long as meat from animals slaughtered without pre-stunning is available in the UK (whether slaughtered in the UK or imported), we believe it should be clearly and accurately labelled as such.

The view of the HSA remains that all animals should be effectively stunned prior to being bled, because this precludes the possibility of suffering.
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ately render it unconscious. Some Muslim religious authorities accept that the stunned animal is still alive and will recover consciousness if no further action is taken. That being the case, they are content that the meat from an animal electrically stunned before slaughter is halal provided all the other religious requirements are met. Others argue that stunning results in the animal feeling pain, that more blood is retained within the carcase and that stunning results in chemical changes in the meat which makes it unhealthy to eat. To these religious authorities the meat from an animal that has been stunned prior to slaughter is, therefore, haram (unacceptable). They also argue that the rapid loss of blood following religious slaughter results in the animal becoming unconscious before it can feel any pain.

Discussion
The Jewish and Islamic religions emphasise the importance of the humane treatment of animals, and both faiths recognise that taking the life of an animal carries great responsibility. The religious method was developed many centuries ago, motivated by respect for animals and a desire to slaughter them as humanely as possible. However, although it has been argued by some supporters of the religious methods that these methods cause no pain because of the sharpness of the knife used, there is a considerable body of scientific evidence that this is not the case. The HSA believes that, with the development of new technology, these principles can now be best ensured by pre-slaughter stunning and, therefore, all food animals should be stunned prior to slaughter in order to preclude any risk of suffering.

Following the development of humane mechanical stunning equipment in the 1920s, the HSA campaigned strongly for humane stunning to be a legal requirement in the slaughter of farm animals. However, in 1933 when the first law regarding slaughter came into force it included specific exemptions from stunning for animals slaughtered by the Jewish or Muslim methods of slaughter.

The Association campaigned again in support of two Private Members' Bills in 1956 and 1968, and Lord Somers' Bill in the House of Lords, which sought to remove these exemptions. These Bills were all defeated and the Slaughterhouses Act 1974 continued to allow religious slaughter without stunning.

The Farm Animal Welfare Council (FAWC), the Government's independent advisory body on animal welfare, published its report on the welfare of animals slaughtered by religious methods in 1985, in which it was recommended that religious slaughter without prior stunning should be phased out. The HSA strongly supported this recommendation along with the recommendation that all carcases and cuts of meat prepared from animals slaughtered by religious methods without stunning, and offered for sale to the general public, should be clearly labelled as to the method of slaughter employed. The Government at that time accepted neither of these recommendations.

In 2003 FAWC published a new report on the welfare of animals at slaughter or killing, (‘Welfare of Farmed Animals at Slaughter or Killing. Part 1: Red Meat Animals’). This report again recommended to Government that the exemption from the requirement for a pre-cut stun for religious slaughter should be repealed. FAWC also recommended that until the exemption was repealed, all animals slaughtered without a pre-cut stun should receive a post-cut stun. Additionally FAWC reiterated its recommendation from 1985 that until the exemption for religious slaughter was repealed all carcases and cuts of meat prepared from animals slaughtered by religious methods, and offered for sale to the general public, should be clearly labelled as to the method of slaughter employed. Finally it was recommended that where an animal had not been stunned nothing should be inserted into the neck wound post-cut. The HSA supported these recommendations.

However, the Government in its 2005 response to the FAWC report again rejected these recommendations on the grounds that it was committed to respect the rights of religious groups. On the issue of labelling meat from animals slaughtered by a religious method, the Government conceded that members of the general public may not wish to eat meat from animals slaughtered without prior stunning, but